

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,963	04/27/2001	James S. Mandle	D45701/000	1658	
7	590 . 07/21/2003				
Allen R. Kipnes, Esq. WATOV & KIPNES, P.C. P.O. Box 247			EXAMINER		
			KRAMER, DEAN J		
Princeton June	tion, NJ 08550		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/844,963	MANDLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dean J. Kramer	3652
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover she t	with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second properties after the second part of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of the beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>27 June 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witl	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	· •	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in .	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).     Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 19

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5-15-03 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3652

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent # 711,452 in view of Shinn.

French Patent # 711452 shows several embodiments of holders for scouring devices each comprising a pair of opposed legs having gripping assemblies at their free ends. Some embodiments (see Figs. 3, 7, and 8) appear to show a one-piece holder having a natural resiliency with their opposing jaws biased toward an expanded position. The embodiment of Figure 6 shows outwardly oriented teeth (b,b') that are biased to an expanded position (see the dashed lines in Fig. 6) to grip a scouring pad. In this embodiment (Fig. 6), the teeth do not appear to move *in unison* to enter the scouring pad *at the same time* as is called for in the claims of the instant application.

However, Shinn shows a gripping tool wherein opposing gripping means (F,G) are biased outwardly after inward pressure on the legs (A,B) is released to grip an article.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the outwardly directed gripping means (b,b') of the French ('452) Figure 6 embodiment with a simple actuating means similar to the one-piece structures shown in Figures 3, 7, and 8 of the French ('452) patent and that shown and disclosed in the Shinn patent so that a user could easily control the release of a used scouring pad with only slight inward pressure on the resiliently coupled legs. Regarding claims 6 and 7, it is pointed out that the French ('452) Patent shows embodiments in Figures 1-3 having inwardly and outwardly oriented legs.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent #711,452 in view of Shinn as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of German Patent # 3530401.

The modified French ('452) patent was presented above in section 3 and would substantially show the invention as set forth in claim 3 except for the gripping means comprising a plurality of hooks.

However, German Patent # 3530401 shows an embodiment of a pad holder in Figures 1 and 5 comprising a plurality of hook-shaped gripping means (16.16') for engaging a pad (20).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the teeth of the modified French ('452) holder with hook-shaped gripping means as taught by the German ('401) patent as an alternative yet functionally equivalent means of securing a pad to the holder.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent #711,452 in view of Shinn as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Milano.

Milano shows a holding device operated by a user's finger comprising a pair of opposing legs each having a textured surface (62) for enhancing a user's grip.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a textured grip enhancing surface on the outer surface of the modified French ('452) legs as taught by Milano so that a user could enjoy a more secure and comfortable grip on the holder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Dean'J. Kramer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3652

djk July 17, 2003